Statement—*contd.*

States/Union Territories	Per Captia (Rs.)
II. UNION TERRITORIES : A. With Legislature-	
1. Delhi	268 (3,837)
2. B. Without Legislature-	(2,860)
3. Andaman & Nicobar Islands	17,826
4. Chandigarh	3,424
5. Dadra & Nagar Haveli	3,378
6. Damam & Diu	2,984
7. Lakshadweep	10.000
TOTAL :	1,108

* Presently in the pattern of 90% grant and 10% loan.

**' Presently in the pattern of 30% grant and 70% loan.

@ Excluding Special Plan Loan.

NOTE 1. The per capita figures are derived on the basis of 1971 Census Population as used in the formula according to ths population Policy Statemsnt 1977.

NOTE 2. Parantheses show the figures of the per capita outlays comparable to those of other Union Territories which are fully funded through Central assistance.

Implementation of Expert Group's Recommendations on Poverty

1969. SHRI SURESH PACHOURI Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION Be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 380 given in the Rajya Sabha on 6th December, 1993 and state :

(a) the main reasons for delay in implementing the recommendations of the Expert Group on poverty;

(b) whether the report has thrown some very revealing details in this regard; and

(c) if so, by when Government propose to implement the recommendations of the Expert Group ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) to (c) The report of the Expart Group on Poverty has been circulated to the Central Departments and Experts for their comments. The Expert Group has recommended the same poverty Lise which was recommended by the Task Force on Minimum Needs and Effective Consumption Demand (1979) i.e. Rs. 49.09 for rural areas and Rs. 56.64 for urban areas at 1973-74 prices. The Expert Group, however has recommended a different methodology for updating the poverty line for price rise, which has increased the estimate of people living below the poverty line in the country.

Funds Sanctioned for Small Hydel Power Projects in M. P.

1970. SHRIMATI CHANDRIKA ABHINANDAN JAIN : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) the amount of funds made available by Government to Madhya Pradesh during

1993-94 and 1994-95 for implementing Small Hydel Power Projects; and

(b) the amount of funds sanctioned by the Planning Commission during 1993-94 and 1994-95 for implementing these projects in Madhya Pradesh ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO) : (a) The Central Government has been normally providing block Plan assistance to the States for their Plans according to formula approved by National Development Council. The assistance is not provided for specific sectors/projects/scliemes, unless, specified and allocated under the criterion "Special Problems" indicated by the States.

(b) The approved outlay for implementing small hydel power projects (more than 2 MW and upto 15 MW) in Madhya Pradesh for the year 1993-94 is Rs. 115 lakhs. The outlays for 1994-95 are yet to be approved.

लघु उद्योगों के विकास के लिए उत्तर प्रदेश को निधियों का आबंटन

1971. श्री ईश दत्त यादव : क्या योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वियन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या उत्तर प्रदेश को लघु उद्योगों के विकास हेतु और अधिक धनराशि आबंटित किये जाने का कोई प्रस्ताव है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है;

(ग) चालू पंचवर्षीय योजना के दौरान इस प्रयोजनार्थ कुल कितनी धनराशि आबंटित की गई है; और

(घ) पिछली पंचवर्षीय योजना की तुलना में इस पंचवर्षीय योजना के दौरान आबंटित की गई धनराशि कितनी कम है ?

योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वियन मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री गिरिधर गोमांगो) : (क) उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार का लघु उद्योगों के विकास हेतु अधिक निधि के आबंटन के लिए कोई विशिष्ट प्रस्ताव नहीं है।

(ख) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

(ग) और (घ) उत्तर प्रदेश के ग्राम और लघु उद्योगों के लिए आठवीं योजना परिव्यय 261.20 करोड़ रुपये है, जो सातवीं योजना के 171.59 करोड़ रुपये के परिव्यय से अधिक है।

Mukherjee Formula on Central Assistance to States

1972. SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be please to state :

(a) whether Central assistance to States is being granted on the basis of Mukherjee Formula;

(b) if so, the difference between the revised Gadgil Formula and Mukherjee For-' mula;

(c) the central assistance granted to States during the last two year; and

(d) whether the State Government are satisfied with the Mukherjee Formula ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO) : (a) Yes. Sir.

(b) The. difference between the Modified Gadgil Formula (1980) and Mukherjee Formula as approved by the National Deve-j lopment Council (1991) is brought out in the Statement-I attached *(see* below).

(c) The Central (formula based) assistance allocated to the fifteen non-Special Category States during last two years 1991-92 and 1992-93 is shown in the Statement-II attached, *(see* below).

(d) No representations on the present Formula have so far received from -the concerned States. -